Nourse & Bowles, LLP

115 Mason Street

Greenwich, CT 06830-6630

Telephone: (203) 869-7887

Facsimile: (203) 869-4535

MEMO ENDORS

NOURSE & BOWLES, LLP

One Exchange Plaza at 55 Broadway New York, NY 10006-3030 Telephone: (212) 952-6200

Facsimile: (212) 952-0345 E-Mail: reception@nb-ny.com Web site: www.nb-ny.com September 23, 2005

Nourse & Bowles

75 Main Street, Suite 205 Millburn, NJ 07041-1322

Telephone: (973) 258-9811 Facsimile: (973) 258-1480

Via Facsimile and E-Mail

Honorable James C. Francis IV United States Magistrate Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 1960 New York, New York 10007-1312

1-212-805-7930

Re:

American Steamship Owners Mutual Protection and Indemnity Association, Inc.

v. Alcoa Steamship Co., Inc., et al., No. 04 Civ. 04309 (LAK) (JCF)

Dear Judge Francis:

We are counsel for the American Steamship Owners Protective and Indemnity Association (the "American Club") in the captioned litigation. We write in reply to Messrs. Proskauer's letter to the Court dated September 20, 2005.

We respectfully submit that Defendants request to expand the scope of the production required under the Court's September 13, 2005 Order and, indeed, to expand the scope of the ruling, is premature and in contravention of the stay presently in place. We ask that the Court defer consideration of Defendants' request until the hearing and determination of the Club's appeal from the September 13th Order.

> USDC SDNY **DOCUMENT** ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED:

Should the Court prefer to rule upon the Defendants' application, we respectfully request leave to submit a formal opposition and reasonable time to do so.

Thank you for your attention.

Respectfully submitted,

NOURSE & BOWLES, LLP

By:

Shaun F. Carroll, Esq.

SFC/jtc

cc: All Counsel

referdants' application dals not contraverse the stay, since it simply seeks a further ruling. It will, however, he more efficient to withhold further rulings on related issues until the objections to my september 13, 2005 alrections to my september 13, 2005 alrections to may september 13, 2005 alrections to may review their order have been adjudicated. Therefore, defendants may review their application after the objections have application after the objections have been ruled upon.